



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/660,444

09/11/2003

Andrzej Chanduszeko

NMT-015

4893

22832

7590

10/28/2008

K&L Gates LLP

STATE STREET FINANCIAL CENTER

One Lincoln Street

BOSTON, MA 02111-2950

EXAMINER

YABUT, DIANE D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3734

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/28/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |  |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/660,444 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>CHANDUSZKO ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>DIANE YABUT       | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3734                  |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DIANE YABUT. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) CRYSTAL KOMM. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10/24/2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
           c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
       If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 31 and 37.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nobles 2002/0045908; Ginn 6,702,835; Das 5,334,217.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposes amendments regarding the step of withdrawing the second free end portion of the flexible member in claims 31 and 37, which appear to read over the prior art. However, further consideration of the references and an updated search is required by the examiner in light of the amendments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| /Diane Yabut/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 3734 |  |
|--|--|